

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JUSTIN PAULO,
Plaintiff,

v.

BRIAN WILLIAMS, *et al.*,
Defendant.

Case No. 2:19-cv-00474-CDS-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 165]

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for a copy of his response to Defendants' motion for summary judgment at Docket No. 101. Docket No. 165.¹ It is a litigant's responsibility to maintain copies of his filings. Given Plaintiff's *pro se* status and as a one-time courtesy, however, the Court **INSTRUCTS** the Clerk's Office to mail Plaintiff a copy of his response to Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Docket No. 101). Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for copies is **GRANTED**. Docket No. 165.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 29, 2024


Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ The Court construes *pro se* filings liberally. *Blaisdell v. Frappiea*, 729 F.3d 1237, 1241 (9th Cir. 2013).